**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2338 OF 2004**

Mr.Rohit Mehta ...Petitioner

Versus

M/s. Fortis Financial Services Ltd. and Anr. ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Niranjan Mundargi i/b M/s. Arpan Rajput & Co. Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. B.H. Mehta, A.P.P. for State.

.....

**CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.**

**DATED: 27TH SEPTEMBER, 2005**

**P. C.:-**

1. Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for quashing of process issued against him in Criminal Case No.359/S/2002, which is pending in the Court of learned Metropolitan Magistrate, 23rd Court, at Esplanade, Mumbai. In the said case, process has been issued against the petitioner under Section 138 r.w. 141 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

3. For the reasons recorded in the decision of this Court in the case of **V.K. Jain & Ors. Vs. Pratap**

**V. Padode and Anr, reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778,** in my opinion, the petitioner has an efficacious remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my view, it would be appropriate that the petitioner prefers revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned advocate for the petitioner states that the necessary revision would be preferred within a period of four weeks from today. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial for a period of four weeks from today.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by the petitioner before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the same on merits after hearing necessary parties.

6. Writ Petition is disposed of.

*****